|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Window\_a | | |
| Reconstruction | 33 | |
| Used sources | Report\_text\_page\_11 |  |
| Report\_drawing\_05  Photo\_location\_10 |  |
| Uncertainty | The Windows are still existing and therefore the level of certainty for the reconstruction is on the highest level | Level 4 |
| Argumentation | Report\_drawing\_05 as well as the description in the report (page 11) were used as the basis for the reconstruction. Drawing and report do not give information about all dimensions of the window. Therefore photo\_location\_10 was used as a reference. | |
| Window\_b | | |
| Reconstruction |  | |
| Used sources | Report\_text\_page\_11 |  |
| Uncertainty | These windows no longer exist. There are also no imprints or similar on the walls, so that their shape or position cannot be deducted. | Level 2 |
| Argumentation | The dimensions were taken from the report | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Window, east and west | | |
| Reconstruction |  | |
| Used sources | Report\_text\_page11 |  |
| photo\_museum\_01 |  |
| Uncertainty | The western windows are still existing. The windows on the eastern wall are reconstructed similarly and therefore only level 3 in certainty | Level 3/4 |
| Argumentation | The photo from the museum could be used for reconstructing the shape and the report could be used for the correct dimensions. | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Walls\_a | | |
| Reconstruction |  | |
| Used sources | report\_drawing\_05  report\_drawing\_03 |  |
| photo\_location\_02  photo\_location\_03 |  |
| Uncertainty | Still existing | Level 4 |
| Argumentation | The dimensions were taken from the report and the photos | |
| Walls\_b | | |
| Reconstruction |  | |
| Used sources | report\_drawing\_05 |  |
| report\_drawing\_03 |  |
| Uncertainty | Reconstruction on the basis of the still existing parts of the wall | Level 3 |
| Argumentation | The dimensions were taken from the report drawings and the photos | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Door | | |
| Reconstruction |  | |
| Used sources | analogy\_02\_01 |  |
| report\_drawing\_03 |  |
| Uncertainty | The door does not exist anymore, therefore a analogie had to be used | Level 2 |
| Argumentation | Analogy\_02 was used as reference because it has a relatively simple shape. The report drawing was used for the dimensions. | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Floor\_a/b | | |
| Reconstruction |  | |
| Used sources | report\_drawing\_03 |  |
| Uncertainty | The report drawing shows the still existing parts of the floor. The rest could then easily be deducted | Level 4/33 |
| Argumentation | The report drawing could be used to reconstruct the existing and missing part of the floor | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Aron Hakodesh | | |
| Reconstruction |  | |
| 3Used sources | report\_drawing\_05  Photo\_location\_05 |  |
| article\_01\_Page183\_Abb18 |  |
| Uncertainty | Only the general shape and location is visible on the eastern wall. The rest had to be deducted from analogies | Level 2 |
| Argumentation | The reconstruction was based on analogies of similar structures from the defined time period. The dimensions were scaled to fit the niche which is visible on the report drawing and photo. | |
| Cornice | | |
| Reconstruction |  | |
| Used sources | article\_01\_Page182\_Abb14  photo\_location\_11 |  |
| Uncertainty | Parts are still visible today, the rest can easily be deducted | Level 3/4 |
| Argumentation | Parts of the cornice are still visible today. The rest could be reconstructed on the basis of the report drawing | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Beams\_a | | |
| Reconstruction |  | |
| Used sources | http://www.urbs-mediaevalis.de/pages/studienportal/bauteiltypologie/bauteile-w/walmdach.php |  |
| Uncertainty | Nothing is left of the roof therefore analogy has to be used | Level 1 |
| Argumentation | There are no remains of the roof, so it was reconstructed according to the rules of a hipped roof. | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Ceiling | | |
| Reconstruction |  | |
| Used sources | http://www.urbs-mediaevalis.de/pages/studienportal/bauteiltypologie/bauteile-w/walmdach.php |  |
| Uncertainty | Nothing is left of the roof therefore analogy has to be used | Level 1 |
| Argumentation | The ceiling was reconstructed to provide a ceiling to the room and create an attic | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Beams\_b | | |
| Reconstruction |  | |
| Used sources | http://www.urbs-mediaevalis.de/pages/studienportal/bauteiltypolo gie/bauteile-w/walmdach.php |  |
| Uncertainty | Nothing is left of the roof therefore analogy has to be used | Level 1 |
| Argumentation | There are no remains of the roof, so it was reconstructed according to the rules of a hipped roof. | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Beams\_c | | |
| Reconstruction |  | |
| Used sources | http://www.urbs-mediaevalis.de/pages/studienportal/bauteiltypologie/bauteile-w/walmdach.php |  |
| Uncertainty | Nothing is left of the roof therefore analogy has to be used | Level 1 |
| Argumentation | There are no remains of the roof, so it was reconstructed according to the rules of a hipped roof. | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Roof\_a | | |
| Reconstruction |  | |
| 11 | http://www.urbs-mediaevalis.de/pages/studienportal/bauteiltypologie/bauteile-w/walmdach.php |  |
| Uncertainty | Nothing is left of the roof therefore analogy has to be used | Level 1 |
| Argumentation | The roof was modelled according to the beams. | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Roof\_b | | |
| Reconstruction |  | |
| 11 | http://www.urbs-mediaevalis.de/pages/studienportal/bauteiltypologie/bauteile-w/walmdach.php |  |
| Uncertainty | Nothing is left of the roof therefore analogy has to be used | Level 1 |
| Argumentation | The roof was modelled according to the beams. | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Foundation | | |
| Reconstruction |  | |
| Used sources | report\_drawing\_03 |  |
| Uncertainty | The foundation still exists and is recorded in the archaeological report | Level 4 |
| Argumentation | The foundation was modelled with the report drawing as reference | |